

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/646,520	08/22/2003	Duane D. Adams	240-098PA	8909
26500 7	590 06/28/2005		EXAMINER	
LAWRENCE P. TRAPANI, ESQ. PO BOX 555		PICKETT, JOHN G		
	Y 13104-0555		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3728	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	\sim		
	10/646,520	ADAMS, DUANE D.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Gregory Pickett	3728			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ss		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this commo	unication.		
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 A	<u>oril 2005</u> .				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·		erits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-19 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>13 April 2005</u> iś/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Sta	age		
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		52)		

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action acknowledges the applicant's amendment submitted 13 April
 Claims 1-19 are pending in the application.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Drawings

3. The replacement drawings were received on 13 April 2005. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 17 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Klinger et al (US 6,175,082).

Regarding claim 17, Klinger et al discloses an organizer system (see Figure 7) comprising a desk pad (mouse pad), a desk organizer **80** having a tray portion **83**, receptacle **81** and extension portion **85**. Klinger discloses an adhesive means for applying the pad to the extension (see Col. 5, lines 7-9).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,520 Page 3

Art Unit: 3728

As to claim 18, the organizer system of Klinger et al, as applied to claim 17 above, inherently meets the claimed method during assembly.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinger et al (US 6,175,082) in view of Tracy et al (US 3,744,435; previously provided to applicant).

Klinger et al anticipates the use of adhesive tape (Col. 5, lines 7-9). Klinger et al lacks the provision of a protective substrate and the subsequent removal of the substrate before applying the pad to the adhesive element.

Tracy discloses the use of a double-sided adhesive tape with protective substrate (Col. 2, lines 34-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the organizer system of Klinger et al with the double-sided adhesive tape taught by Tracy et al in order to limit the exposure of the adhesive portion to debris during the different assembly stages.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 1-16 are allowed.
- 7. As allowable subject matter has been indicated, applicant's reply must either comply with all formal requirements or specifically traverse each requirement not complied with. See 37 CFR 1.111(b) and MPEP § 707.07(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/646,520 Page 4

Art Unit: 3728

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments, see pages 9-10, filed 13 April 2005, with respect to claims 1-16 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-16 under 35 USC 103(a) has been withdrawn.

9. Applicant's arguments, see page 11, filed 13 April 2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) s 17 and 18 under 35 USC 103(a) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of newly found prior art Klinger et al and are presented above. As this amounts to a change in position by the examiner, this action is made **NON-FINAL**.

Conclusion

10. As the examiner has presented new grounds of rejection, this Office Action is made **NON-FINAL**.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gregory Pickett whose telephone number is 571-272-4560. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 11:30 AM - 8:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,520 Page 5

Art Unit: 3728

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mickey Yu can be reached on 571-272-4562. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Greg Pickett Examiner 24 June 2005

Mickey Yu Supervisory Patent Examiner Group 3700